

Message

From: Henning, Loren [Henning.Loren@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/14/2016 10:50:41 PM
To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]; Davis, Eva [Davis.Eva@epa.gov]; Levine, Herb [Levine.Herb@epa.gov]
CC: Butler, Thomas [Butler.Thomas@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: ST012 Agency Path Forward.docx

Thanks Carolyn. I edited the draft to reflect your comments. Will give this draft to Angeles by end of the day today so she can review and comment.

Loren

From: d'Almeida, Carolyn K.
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 3:40 PM
To: Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Levine, Herb <Levine.Herb@epa.gov>
Cc: Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ST012 Agency Path Forward.docx

Loren

A few comments on the path forward, otherwise looks good.

I share Evas concern about timing on establishing loss of containment. That site is still superheated and will be for sometime as there were no other measures taken to cool it down and it is such a large area. It could take over a year for temps to normalize and for us to be confident that the situation is stable

I think we should also include a contingency for installing containment system, as it was in the final 2014 RDRA workplan EBR conceptual design.

Carolyn

From: Davis, Eva
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 2:33 PM
To: Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Levine, Herb <Levine.Herb@epa.gov>
Cc: Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: ST012 Agency Path Forward.docx

Loren ---

I don't think I would recommend a time limit on the monitoring of the perimeter wells. I think it's likely that they will be re-contaminated within 4 months, but I don't recommend letting the AF and AMEC off if it takes longer for this to occur.

As for #2 – we have been over this with the AF and AMEC many times, we were doing it on a monthly basis since about September of last year. Phil's little presentation was the same thing that we have been listening to and telling them that we disagree with. I hesitate for some reasons to even mention this, but I think it might be necessary to get another's opinion – which can be very tricky

We need to push for continued characterization – as I recall from yesterday’s conversation, we need to establish the basis for this, which I think we can

Eva

From: Henning, Loren

Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 3:59 PM

To: d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Levine, Herb <Levine.Herb@epa.gov>; Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>

Cc: Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>

Subject: ST012 Agency Path Forward.docx

Sorry, use this version instead.